

Date: Sun, 21 Aug 94 04:30:12 PDT  
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #384  
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest                      Sun, 21 Aug 94                      Volume 94 : Issue    384

Today's Topics:

                    Code Must GO! or sta  
                    CW ...IS NOW!  
          Upgrading from Tech Plus to General (3 msgs)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.  
-----

Date: Sun, 21 Aug 94 02:57:00 -0400  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!  
news.intercon.com!udel!news.sprintlink.net!coyote.channel1.com!channel1!  
alan.wilensky@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: Code Must GO! or sta  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

LW>>Do you pay union scale for air time? What is the format of the  
LW>discussion? NOBODY gets paid to do the show, Clay, NOT even me! The  
LW>show is to advance the hobby/service of amateur radio, as far as I'm  
LW>concerned. I have received many replies PRO-CODE, but I haven't even  
LW>received one reply from a person willing to be on the show against  
LW>the CODE. How verrrry interesting!  
LW>73, Len,  
LW>KB7LPW

I will take up the topic of removal of the cw test. I have a very  
complete folder of research from several services that have dropped the  
code altogether.

Name the time and place.

Alan Wilensky, N1SS0  
abm@world.std.com

---

, CmpQwk #UNREG, UNREGISTERED EVALUATION COPY

-----

Date: 21 Aug 1994 04:48:23 GMT  
From: george.inhouse.compuserve.com!news.inhouse.compuserve.com!compuserve.com!  
news@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: CW ...IS NOW!  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

My copy of 97.1(a) cites "recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with respect to providing emergency communications."

My Webster's Collegiate defines "emergency" as "an unforeseen combination of circumstances or the resulting state that calls for immediate action."

My personal definition of "emergency communications," consistent with Webster, includes one or more of (i) backup power (ii) backup equipment and (iii) backup antenna systems, any of which will markedly reduce signal/noise ratios and worsen the separation of signals.

An amateur service that can run emergency drills on packet via repeaters is real nice. A service that can handle the real thing on anything that can switch a carrier on and off is a good deal more robust. But I don't think I'm going to persuade anyone who doesn't already agree.

-----

Date: Sat, 20 Aug 1994 23:46:26 GMT  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!sdd.hp.com!saimiri.primate.wisc.edu!news.doit.wisc.edu!  
F180-137.net.wisc.edu!bmicales@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: Upgrading from Tech Plus to General  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Hello all,

I was just looking through QST ( Sept. 1994, pg. 75) and noticed under the EXAM INFO section that as of July 1 the General written exam ( Element 3B) uses a new question pool.

My question is, since I got my Tech in 1976 and when I upgrade to a General ( soon I hope :- ) ), will I be tested on this new General exam? According

to the rules (1991 - a new book is on order), Techs before 1986, receive  
create for element 3B.

Is this still in effect? Personally, I beleive it still is , however, I  
would like to hear from some of the VEs (or anyone who knows) out there.

Respond either here or E-Mail me at : bmicales@facstaff.wisc.edu

Thank you for taking the time to read this post and responding to it.

73 de WA2DEU

Bruce Micales

P.S. I looked this post over for mistakes, but if I missed something or  
offended anyone, I apologize.

Bruce Micales

-----  
Date: Sun, 21 Aug 1994 00:30:10 GMT  
From: world!drt@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: Upgrading from Tech Plus to General  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Bruce Micales (bmicales@facstaff.wisc.edu) wrote:  
: Hello all,

: I was just looking through QST ( Sept. 1994, pg. 75) and noticed  
: under the EXAM INFO section that as of July 1 the General written exam (  
: Element 3B) uses a new question pool.

: My question is, since I got my Tech in 1976 and when I upgrade to a General (  
: soon I hope :-) ), will I be tested on this new General exam? According  
: to the rules (1991 - a new book is on order), Techs before 1986, receive  
: create for element 3B.

You're all set. Your credit is for 3B, not any particular question  
pool.

-drt

-----  
David R. Tucker      KG2S                      8P9CL                      drt@world.std.com

-----

Date: Sun, 21 Aug 1994 02:30:53 GMT  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!sdd.hp.com!saimiri.primate.wisc.edu!news.doit.wisc.edu!  
F180-156.net.wisc.edu!bmicales@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: Upgrading from Tech Plus to General  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

>Bruce Micales (bmicales@facstaff.wisc.edu) wrote:  
>: Hello all,

>: I was just looking through QST ( Sept. 1994, pg. 75) and noticed  
>: under the EXAM INFO section that as of July 1 the General written exam (  
>: Element 3B) uses a new question pool.

>: My question is, since I got my Tech in 1976 and when I upgrade to a General (  
>: soon I hope :-)) , will I be tested on this new General exam? According  
>: to the rules (1991 - a new book is on order), Techs before 1986, receive  
>: create for element 3B.

>You're all set. Your credit is for 3B, not any particular question  
>pool.

>-drt

>-----  
David R. Tucker                   KG2S                   8P9CL                   drt@world.std.com

Thanks David....like I said this what I thought, but it is nice to get  
confirmation BEFORE going for an upgrade! :-)

73 de WA2DEU  
Bruce Micales

-----  
Date: Fri, 19 Aug 1994 01:18:30 GMT  
From: walter!dancer.cc.bellcore.com!not-for-mail@uunet.uu.net  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <lenwink.176.000F2652@indirect.com>, <wyn.133.2E520809@ornl.gov>,  
<lenwink.188.000E810A@indirect.com>lyo  
Subject : Re: Code Must GO! or stay!?

In article <lenwink.188.000E810A@indirect.com>,  
Len Winkler <lenwink@indirect.com> wrote:  
>>Do you pay union scale for air time? What is the format of the discussion?  
>NOBODY gets paid to do the show, Clay, NOT even me! The show is to  
>advance the hobby/service of amateur radio, as far as I'm concerned.

>I have received many replies PRO-CODE, but I haven't even received  
>one reply from a person willing to be on the show against the CODE.  
>How verrrry interesting!  
>73, Len,  
>KB7LPW

I am opposed to the high speed code requirements as they relate  
to spectrum use. That is...I see no reason to test beyond what  
is required for international treaty purposes (5wpm) to gain  
HF use for non-CW modes.

Bill Sohl  
K2UNK - Advanced Class

29 Netcong Road  
Budd Lake, NJ 07828

Home 201-691-8116, Office (see below)

Additional background:

I was one of the hams who worked towards and succeeded in changing  
the old NJ Scanner law. I'm an elected official (councilman) in my  
home town (Mount Olive Township) and I've been a telecommunications  
professional for almost 30 years.

I've testified before state committees on various issues on several  
occasions.

I'm an ARRL member (but my opinions would not in any way be representative  
of an ARRL position on the issue). I'm an ARRL Local Government Liason.

Cheers & 73s  
Bill

-----  
Bill Sohl (K2UNK) BELLCORE (Bell Communications Research, Inc.)  
Morristown, NJ email via UUCP bcr!cc!whs70  
201-829-2879 Weekdays email via Internet whs70@cc.bellcore.com  
-----

End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #384  
\*\*\*\*\*